DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE



SHORT AND MID-TERM ROADMAP FOR ROBINHOOD

Henri DOREAU | CEA/DAM henri.doreau@cea.fr

CEA, DAM, DIF, F-91297 Arpajon France

www.cea.fr

RUG2015



Improvements and changes to expect in the future

- v3.1 Beyond generic policies: enhanced workflows
- V3.x Roadmap overview
 - Improved modularity
 - Performance improvements
 - Richer plugins ecosystem
 - **—** Support object stores
- V4 Alternative model for a distributed policy engine

ENHANCED WORKFLOWS V3.1 (Q2 2016)

ASYNCHRONOUS ACCOUNTING

Non-atomic accounting updates

Currently: atomic update of accounting with inode information

- Noticeable performance impact (if accounting enabled)
- Prevents from using batching & multithreading together (deadlock)

In 3.1: deferred processing

- Change descriptors enqueued into a FIFO table (nolock)
- Accounting not updated right away
- Records dequeued asynchronously
- Processed by a dedicated thread pool (synclet)
 - => Significant speedup
 - => Ability to distribute this work (remote synclet)
 - => Ability to use a different backend (remote system)

PLUGINIFY ALL THE THINGS!

Generalized use of plugins

. . . .

- Criteria, statistics, metrics and associated reporting
 - Usage and patterns per job
 - Activity tracking per user / group
 - Triggers (run policies when a condition is met)
 - Existing ones converted to new modules
 - Allow vendors/users to develop/configure custom ones
 - e.g.: run data integrity checking when other policies are idle
 - Alerts
 - e.g.: raise alert if name contains non-printable characters
 - Multi-steps alerts (NOTICE / WARNING / CRITICAL...)

ENHANCED LUSTRE/HSM SUPPORT

Significant HSM improvements

- Action rate smoothing/leveling
 - Avoid huge bursts of action per pass
 - Rate-limited actions
- Cray's HSM/Migrate support (LU-6081)
- Disaster recovery
 - When losing OST
 - Identify the impacted files
 - Take appropriate actions
 - Reimport from archive (delete / recreate / rebind if needed)
 - Indicate which files have been restored to latest version, to an older version or definitely lost
 - When losing MDT
 - Re-create the namespace from robinhood database
 - Re-import files from backend
 - Make Robinhood able to control the copytools for *rebind* operation

MID-TERM ROADMAP V3.x (2016~2017)

Code structure improvements

- Group lustre-specific code
- Adapt robinhood to various object stores
- Improve test suites
 - Merge the existing ones
 - Provide plugins a way to nicely integrate dedicated tests
- Switch to liblustre (the new LGPL one)
 - API cleanup
 - Perf improvements (keep open FDs on filesystem root and OBF directory...)
 - Work by CRAY tracked under LU-5969
 - See: <u>https://github.com/fzago-cray/liblustre</u>

3.x PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENTS

Initial scan speedup

- Low-level MDT scans (lustre-side change)
 - Posix scans against billions of inodes require patience...
 - Iterator over the MDT inodes table, exposed as a pseudo changelog stream
 - Goal is to significantly reduce scan duration
 - Work by CEA
- 1. Enable changelogs
- 2. Issue changelog_start w/ a special CHANGELOG_FLAG_SCAN flag
- 3. MDS uses an OSD iterator to retrieve inodes from inodes table
- 4. Packed and delivered as pseudo-changelog records
- 5. Current scan state saved using a CHANGELOG_USER_REC record (i.e.: the scan can stop/resume)
- 6. Process regular records to include changes that happened since 1)

POSTGRESQL SUPPORT

Make the list manager compatible with postgreSQL

- New flavor of list manager
- Aim to have a complete, production-grade support
- Should ease experiments with clustering solutions (rbhv4)
 Bi-Directional Replication (BDR)
 - pg_shard



DISTRIBUTED BACKEND

Two-phases roadmap

Modular list managers

- Redefine / refactor the list manager API
- Convert the existing (MySQL) list manager to that API
- Distribute it as a shared library (like rbhv3 plugins)

Distributed backends

- Identify suitable technology (proper consistency guarantees!)
- Implement a new backend

Again, modular design to allow vendors/users to implement and distribute custom adapters for the backend of their choice

WHICH DISTRIBUTED DBMS TO CHOOSE?

Identified candidates

- PostgreSQL (BDR or pg_shard)
 - Based on extremely mature technology
 - Interesting features being developed (JSON as a native type...)
 - but we have not tested it yet
- Elasticsearch / HBase
 - Excellent scalability
 - Relatively low ingest rate for ES / Very high for HBase
 - Heavy machinery
- MongoDB
 - Document oriented (a row is a JSON document)
 - Transparent sharding
 - Supports concurrent writes
 - but has shady corner cases (can loose acknowledged writes on a network partition!)

ALTERNATIVE MODEL V4

DISTRIBUTED STREAM PROCESSOR

Experiments with a new approach

- Existing model: large database
 - Static data
 - Distributed storage and processing
- Studied model: stream processing
 - Moving records
 - Data in memory
 - On the fly processing (graph of operators)
- Requires a compact representation of the system (Flajolet-Martin Sketch, StreamSamp, DenStream clustering...)
- Ongoing experiments with Apache SPARK framework

PROSPECTIVES

Expected improvements in virtually all areas

Flexibility

- Modular components as much as possible
- Encouragement for contributors to develop their own modules
- Performance
 - Keep the product ready for next generations of machines
 - Vertical / Horizontal scalability effort

Stability

- Sustained effort on stability
- High code quality standards (gerrithub reviews, discussed design changes)...
- Regression testing
- Early deployment of beta versions





https://github.com/cea-hpc/robinhood robinhood-devel@lists.sf.net

THANK YOU!

ANY QUESTION?

Commissariat à l'énergie atomique et aux énergies alternatives CEA/DAM lle de France 91297 Arpajon Cedex T. +33 (0)1 69 26 40 00

_

DAM Ile de France

Etablissement public à caractère industriel et commercial | RCS Paris B 775 685 019